

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:1.350

Jerome Pettinga

I would so move, Jerome.

0:0:1.960 --> 0:0:2.400

roger m (Guest)

Your own.

0:0:2.410 --> 0:0:3.410

roger m (Guest)

Thank you. Second.

0:0:4.340 --> 0:0:5.160

Burnham, Lance

Lance will second.

0:0:5.900 --> 0:0:7.600

roger m (Guest)

Lance, any discussion?

0:0:9.390 --> 0:0:13.390

roger m (Guest)

All those uh approving the motion signify by saying aye.

0:0:14.620 --> 0:0:15.50

Jerome Pettinga

I.

0:0:15.10 --> 0:0:15.530

Kelly Kennedy

I like.

0:0:15.650 --> 0:0:15.940

Burnham, Lance

Bye.

0:0:15.720 --> 0:0:15.940

Eric Nordenson

Aye.

0:0:18.160 --> 0:0:18.610

roger m (Guest)

Thank you.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:0:18.820 --> 0:0:19.570

roger m (Guest)

Motion moves.

0:0:21.20 --> 0:0:27.330

roger m (Guest)

Alright, so we're gonna go right to public comment and we've got several members of the public here.

0:0:27.340 --> 0:0:32.390

roger m (Guest)

So we're gonna keep our our comments around 10 minutes, so.

0:0:34.800 --> 0:0:42.280

roger m (Guest)

Sony, why don't you see amongst our guest here who wants to go first and and let's go down the line and so.

0:0:43.960 --> 0:0:47.550

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Alright, I'm gonna start with posts that are online loops.

0:0:47.780 --> 0:0:50.530

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

I have to get my people this back here.

0:0:51.120 --> 0:0:53.920

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Let's see here, Michelle long.

0:0:56.110 --> 0:0:57.450

Lama, Michelle

I don't have any public comments.

0:0:57.460 --> 0:0:58.700

Lama, Michelle

I'm in listening mode.

0:1:0.90 --> 0:1:0.860

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Thank you.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:1:0.930 --> 0:1:1.740

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Matt datura.

0:1:3.550 --> 0:1:5.180

Matt DeTura

Uh, not at this time.

0:1:5.190 --> 0:1:5.500

Matt DeTura

Thank you.

0:1:7.360 --> 0:1:7.960

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

James white.

0:1:10.640 --> 0:1:13.70

White, James

Uh, same listening monitoring.

0:1:13.80 --> 0:1:13.420

White, James

Thank you.

0:1:12.970 --> 0:1:13.540

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

All right.

0:1:14.320 --> 0:1:18.800

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

And so that those are all the members of the public that I see who are joining us good teams.

0:1:19.600 --> 0:1:22.660

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Mr Whittaker, do you have anything you'd like to add for public comment?

0:1:23.910 --> 0:1:24.680

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Uh.

0:1:26.140 --> 0:1:34.730

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

Unless you would rather save comments for when you start talking about the section on exact legislation and executive authority.

0:1:34.740 --> 0:1:37.460

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Or is this the only opportunity, Mr. Chair?

0:1:37.950 --> 0:1:38.170

roger m (Guest)

Yes.

0:1:39.300 --> 0:1:40.520

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

OK, so I would like to.

0:1:42.920 --> 0:1:44.130

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Raise a few comments.

0:1:44.140 --> 0:1:47.680

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Stuff which I reviewed in Senate Finance.

0:1:49.930 --> 0:1:50.460

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

After the.

0:1:52.470 --> 0:1:54.450

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Section 19 was rescinded.

0:1:55.450 --> 0:1:59.340

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Uh, the ramifications.

0:1:59.570 --> 0:2:5.760

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

What's needed is for this board to be more engaged and not let around by an executive director.

0:2:5.870 --> 0:2:28.250

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

But the privacy implications and the breach with the change from existing statute, the Chair

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

of Finance Center Cummings, noted that it was the privacy issues that necessitated the E911 board to not be embedded in another agency but be its own standalone department.

0:2:29.160 --> 0:2:58.220

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Uh, back in the uh 90s when it was created and what's been proposed without review and discussion by this board, that is a fundamental change to statute, that instead of a opting in by making a 911 call, that wholesale data is gonna be collected and distributed from the 911 board to Emergency Management.

0:2:58.970 --> 0:3:6.160

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Uh, no opt out provisions, no statutory privacy protections, etcetera.

0:3:6.560 --> 0:3:15.960

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

So these are this is a real fundamental breach or a break with tradition and existing statutory practice.

0:3:16.510 --> 0:3:18.580

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

And I think it's been done recklessly.

0:3:18.590 --> 0:3:23.950

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

It's been done without discussion and approval by the board and that's why it was raised.

0:3:25.920 --> 0:3:28.970

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

With the chair last week or the week before.

0:3:30.470 --> 0:3:33.370

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Umm, unfortunately I didn't get the.

0:3:37.880 --> 0:3:41.490

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

I was not able to reach him before the.

0:3:43.770 --> 0:3:52.720

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

Email was read on the Senate floor saying that the board had signed off on it and the bark can do whatever she wants and to the degree there's a process issue there.

0:3:52.730 --> 0:3:53.680

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

It and it's.

0:3:53.750 --> 0:3:56.720

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

You heard me complain over decades.

0:3:56.730 --> 0:4:8.40

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Even that this board is let around by an executive director, even prior that you, you created this disincentive to build capacity within the board.

0:4:8.50 --> 0:4:24.730

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Expertise within the board by not wrestling with these issues and I bringing yourselves up to speed and sharing it with other board members so that there's you're building capacity and instead you basically it's the tail wagging the dog.

0:4:24.820 --> 0:4:31.190

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Barbie supposed to work for this board and take direction from this board and instead it it tends to be the other way around.

0:4:31.400 --> 0:4:50.40

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

So in this case the rubber meet the road and it was called out on the Senate floor and y'all need to get your head around this issue before you start promoting or allowing your director to promote statutory changes that dear dramatically from the mission and the privacy protections that were put in place.

0:4:58.420 --> 0:4:58.960

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

What up?

0:4:59.150 --> 0:4:59.440

roger m (Guest)

Yeah.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:4:59.450 --> 0:4:59.660

roger m (Guest)

You.

0:4:59.670 --> 0:5:0.540

roger m (Guest)

You got anything else?

0:5:0.550 --> 0:5:1.300

roger m (Guest)

Steven, is that?

0:5:1.510 --> 0:5:2.130

roger m (Guest)

Is that what you got?

0:5:3.820 --> 0:5:5.420

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Oh, I have more, but that's enough for now.

0:5:6.330 --> 0:5:6.530

roger m (Guest)

OK.

0:5:7.640 --> 0:5:8.480

roger m (Guest)

All right, so.

0:5:11.350 --> 0:5:18.920

roger m (Guest)

Then we're going to go right to our Exec, executive director and I get a legislative update on everything, including 310.

0:5:19.70 --> 0:5:19.940

roger m (Guest)

So go ahead Bud.

0:5:20.690 --> 0:5:21.920

Neal, Barbara

OK, that sounds good.

0:5:21.930 --> 0:5:32.820

Neal, Barbara

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

Umm, I'm gonna go in the order that things are listed on my piece of paper here, but I will circle back to one of the concerns that Steven just brought up when we get to the S 310 portion.

0:5:32.970 --> 0:5:39.520

Neal, Barbara

So there are actually three bills that we I am monitoring over at the statehouse.

0:5:40.170 --> 0:5:47.220

Neal, Barbara

One is H 6666622 which is related to emergency medical service.

0:5:47.630 --> 0:5:54.10

Neal, Barbara

This creates an EMS board with the 911 board chair or designee as a member.

0:5:54.280 --> 0:6:1.310

Neal, Barbara

That board is responsible or would be responsible for creating A5 year statewide plan for EMS services.

0:6:1.800 --> 0:6:11.510

Neal, Barbara

I have not been asked to testify on this bill, but I don't see any concerns with the language here, but the language as it exists now.

0:6:11.960 --> 0:6:19.510

Neal, Barbara

The second bill is H657, which is a modernization of Vermont's communication taxes and fees.

0:6:20.660 --> 0:6:32.710

Neal, Barbara

This would restructure the Vermont Universal Service Fund to a per line fee versus the existing 2.4% assessment on retail telecommunications sale.

0:6:32.940 --> 0:6:36.530

Neal, Barbara

And you all know that we are funded by the Vermont Universal Service Fund.

0:6:37.440 --> 0:6:44.350

Neal, Barbara

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*



*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

I have testified at House Ways and Means about concerns that have been discussed in these board meetings before.

0:6:44.600 --> 0:7:28.220

Neal, Barbara

Our concerns with the hierarchy or sort of the waterfall of disbursements, uh, from that fund which places us, I think it's 4th on the list of supported programs and also a concern that we we may be back in the same boat in the future regarding sufficiency of the fund and also the second concern that that was mentioned at House Ways and Means is our concern about the 17% dispersement off the top essentially of the Vermont Universal Service Fund revenues to the Vermont Community Broadband board for for certain of their work which their work is important to.

0:7:28.240 --> 0:8:7.350

Neal, Barbara

And and certainly the 911 board would support a increased broadband accessibility and all of that, we do support that work, but we note that they've been funded well from the federal level and I would expect I believe they expect that will continue and it similar to that is once all the funds have been dispersed to the supported programs in the Vermont Universal Service Fund, the rest of it automatically is sent again to the Vermont Community Broadband Board to the Connectivity Fund, which is a different program, but also within that board.

0:8:7.460 --> 0:8:17.780

Neal, Barbara

So I mentioned those two ongoing concerns that we have that are are not changed in this new legislation and essentially just got those concerns on the record.

0:8:19.320 --> 0:8:28.10

Neal, Barbara

And then we have S 310, which is the bill related to the government response to natural disasters.

0:8:28.380 --> 0:8:34.980

Neal, Barbara

So this is a large bill with multiple components, not all of which impact 911.

0:8:36.20 --> 0:8:47.740

Neal, Barbara

Those that do include dissection 19 that Mister Whitaker just referenced, which as the bill currently stands, has been removed from the bill.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:8:49.520 --> 0:8:59.990

Neal, Barbara

But in section 19, and what I've testified to and would continue to recommend to the board, is a requirement that VoIP service providers.

0:9:0.40 --> 0:9:2.710

Neal, Barbara

So those are that's voice over Internet Protocol.

0:9:2.720 --> 0:9:6.890

Neal, Barbara

Those are providers like Comcast and others.

0:9:8.470 --> 0:9:25.870

Neal, Barbara

This section would require those providers to give the 911 board customer information for the purposes of 911 or for the purposes of public notifications by Vermont Emergency Management and also for proactive system maintenance.

0:9:27.720 --> 0:9:43.500

Neal, Barbara

Umm it also section 19 also required carriers to notify their customers their own customers of outages impacting access to 911 or the ability to receive emergency notifications from the state.

0:9:45.240 --> 0:9:51.450

Neal, Barbara

And then a third component of S 310 that impacts the 911 board is section 20, which remains.

0:9:51.900 --> 0:10:6.650

Neal, Barbara

And this is to allow us or direct us to reexamine a tariff study from 2011 that suggested that the board may be significantly overpaying certain carriers.

0:10:6.700 --> 0:10:14.600

Neal, Barbara

This is the legacy wireline carriers for certain costs related to trunking and database management.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:10:15.100 --> 0:10:21.400

Neal, Barbara

Significantly overpaying, I mean to the tune of about 600% from the next closest entity.

0:10:22.380 --> 0:10:34.540

Neal, Barbara

So we would like to revisit that study, see if those findings still stand and then come up with recommendations for what the board might want to do, if anything, about all of that.

0:10:36.300 --> 0:10:48.170

Neal, Barbara

So I do expect, even though Senate Finance struck section 19, I expect that they'll be continued discussion on the House side about this language from section 19.

0:10:49.610 --> 0:10:50.710

Neal, Barbara

I'll add here.

0:10:53.370 --> 0:11:1.530

Neal, Barbara

When section 19 originally came out, I was contacted by both Comcast, who's represented on the call today.

0:11:1.540 --> 0:11:8.320

Neal, Barbara

Jim White, uh and CTIA, not the Torah, is a representative from that organization.

0:11:8.810 --> 0:11:25.490

Neal, Barbara

CTIA represents all of the wireless carriers Comcast and I had multiple conversations to fine tune some of the language in section 19 to address some concerns that they had about release of their customer information.

0:11:26.940 --> 0:11:49.340

Neal, Barbara

We got to a point where the language is essentially umm it created a starting point for further discussion, enrolled making as and addressed, at least to some extent, umm, some of their concerns about that data sharing while also preserving the ability of the board or the authority of the board to use data from other carriers in the way that we always have.

0:11:49.490 --> 0:11:57.980

Neal, Barbara

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

So that was sort of what is been referred to in discussion as the compromise language also had discussions with CTIA.

0:11:58.640 --> 0:11:59.610

Neal, Barbara

They did not.

0:11:59.850 --> 0:12:8.230

Neal, Barbara

We are not requesting customer information from wireless companies, so they did not have any comment on that particular section.

0:12:9.90 --> 0:12:29.820

Neal, Barbara

They do not agree with the requirement to notify customers of outages that impact the access to 911 or the ability to receive emergency notifications, and I would say that at this point my last conversation with Matt, we've essentially agreed to disagree on this point.

0:12:30.310 --> 0:12:34.780

Neal, Barbara

I would still advocate for it to be in there.

0:12:35.50 --> 0:12:36.820

Neal, Barbara

This is in my view.

0:12:36.830 --> 0:12:53.390

Neal, Barbara

What is already something that they do already in California to address Stevens concern about this being a a basic change in the the way the 911 board handles customer data, or any alley data.

0:12:53.820 --> 0:12:54.870

Neal, Barbara

It is not.

0:12:54.940 --> 0:13:40.570

Neal, Barbara

We already have in the Statute in section 797059 and I'm not going to remember the letter, the ability to use data from carriers and others for the purposes of responding to emergency calls for the purposes of system maintenance and quality control for the purposes of the care program that we have, umm, and for purposes of an reverse

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

notification system or a public notification system, we've had an agreement with Vermont Emergency Management for years about the sharing of data from the alley database, which contains the legacy wireline customer information.

0:13:40.580 --> 0:14:8.180

Neal, Barbara

So customer information from companies that provide that hard line service like consolidated and the smaller telephone companies around the state, we are seeking similar information from the VoIP providers for that same purpose for for well let me step back for the purpose of system maintenance and and the emergency reverse notification function that BESM performs.

0:14:9.620 --> 0:14:15.440

Neal, Barbara

So their data would not become part of the Alligator Alley database, but it would be treated with that.

0:14:15.450 --> 0:14:25.230

Neal, Barbara

Those confidentiality restrictions and that's been laid out in statute for the wireline companies for a number of years, I don't know exactly when it went in, but it is in there.

0:14:25.300 --> 0:14:34.670

Neal, Barbara

So it's already an authorized use for certain data and we would extend it to the extent that we've identified it in section 19 to the void carriers.

0:14:36.370 --> 0:14:47.500

Neal, Barbara

So those are the that is the status of the current bills at the at the statehouse.

0:14:47.570 --> 0:14:52.40

Neal, Barbara

I pause here, Roger, and hand it back to you to see if you want to.

0:14:52.550 --> 0:14:54.530

Neal, Barbara

Get any questions from board members?

0:14:53.90 --> 0:14:54.650

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Plants if you listen.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:14:57.660 --> 0:15:0.610

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

No, but still pretty.

0:14:55.950 --> 0:15:3.0

roger m (Guest)

I'm going to open it up to everybody to for any questions that they have a Barb on any of these.

0:15:2.230 --> 0:15:5.50

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

So he's not and we don't have a leak, so that.

0:15:8.310 --> 0:15:9.460

roger m (Guest)

Are there any questions?

0:15:18.850 --> 0:15:19.170

roger m (Guest)

All right.

0:15:20.320 --> 0:15:29.790

roger m (Guest)

Hearing none, we're going to go to our next agenda item, which is to talk about the executive directors, authority and responsibility.

0:15:29.350 --> 0:15:31.640

Neal, Barbara

Roger, could I, could I interrupt you?

0:15:32.280 --> 0:15:35.930

Neal, Barbara

There was one more thing we might want to consider taking to the legislature.

0:15:35.940 --> 0:15:37.990

Neal, Barbara

Do you want me to bring that up now or hold that?

0:15:43.20 --> 0:15:43.160

Neal, Barbara

Uh.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:15:40.820 --> 0:15:47.650

roger m (Guest)

No, I was going to do that later on, but we can do it now and that's that's on our that's on our membership board membership.

0:15:47.700 --> 0:15:48.700

roger m (Guest)

Yes, go ahead.

0:15:47.20 --> 0:15:49.650

Neal, Barbara

Yes, OK.

0:15:49.170 --> 0:15:51.630

roger m (Guest)

Lay out the the issue and then your idea.

0:15:52.250 --> 0:15:58.740

Neal, Barbara

OK, so none of this has been brought to the state to to the legislature yet, but is some an issue that has has arisen.

0:15:59.110 --> 0:16:17.600

Neal, Barbara

So currently the board has one vacancy, the municipal representative, and we have two planned departures for the end of June, which will bring our filled positions to just six if we do not have a replacement identified and appointed by the governor.

0:16:18.290 --> 0:16:34.490

Neal, Barbara

So we are actively seeking candidates for each of those planned vacancies and have reached out to the appropriate constituents groups like Vermont League of Cities and Towns of Office, of EMS, etcetera for assistance in finding the right candidates for those roles.

0:16:35.640 --> 0:16:42.510

Neal, Barbara

The concern is uh and this I became aware of this just yesterday in a conversation with our legal counsel.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:16:42.520 --> 0:16:45.850

Neal, Barbara

The concern is the way our statutes currently written.

0:16:45.860 --> 0:17:7.500

Neal, Barbara

The quorum would stay at 5 because we're A9 member board regardless of the number of vacancies, which doesn't leave a lot of room if there are circumstances that prevent a board member from attending a meeting and critical work needs to occur, or if there is an unexpected departure from the board membership.

0:17:8.550 --> 0:17:12.730

Neal, Barbara

So I've spoken with David, our legal counsel, who?

0:17:13.180 --> 0:17:23.810

Neal, Barbara

Umm, as Sue who suggested an option, we could go to the legislature and request a change in our language that would address the quorum issue.

0:17:23.920 --> 0:17:38.180

Neal, Barbara

If the filled seats fall to a certain level, which could provide a safeguard right in these situations, which we're faced with now, or we may be faced with now, and we could certainly be faced with at some point in the future.

0:17:40.130 --> 0:17:52.620

Neal, Barbara

I do not have language to propose to you right now by question for for you, through Roger would be whether or not you want me to pursue this with legal and with the legislature.

0:17:52.730 --> 0:17:54.970

Neal, Barbara

And so with that, I'll hand it back to Roger.

0:17:56.490 --> 0:17:59.440

roger m (Guest)

Alright, let's open it up for discussion amongst the board.

0:18:2.610 --> 0:18:4.220

Burnham, Lance

Uh, I have a quick question.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*



*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:18:4.990 --> 0:18:6.620

Burnham, Lance

Do we have have?

0:18:6.690 --> 0:18:16.150

Burnham, Lance

Have we had any communication with the EMS and leagues of cities and towns and what is their timeline to actually ask somebody to or appoint somebody to the board?

0:18:17.680 --> 0:18:18.20

Neal, Barbara

Yeah.

0:18:18.200 --> 0:18:25.770

Neal, Barbara

I asked them, I think probably six weeks ago now to begin this work and have touch base with both of them.

0:18:26.40 --> 0:18:32.990

Neal, Barbara

They're still continuing to try to identify someone, so it's a work in progress, is how it described that.

0:18:34.280 --> 0:18:39.240

roger m (Guest)

I have a meeting with prospective municipal member.

0:18:40.290 --> 0:18:45.360

roger m (Guest)

I believe it's later on this week to see if they're interested or not.

0:18:46.20 --> 0:18:55.290

roger m (Guest)

So but it's I think it's a problem statewide is that we're having you know, an issue finding volunteers to do these things so.

0:18:57.760 --> 0:19:2.370

Burnham, Lance

But Roger Aiken, maybe we can have a conversation after the fact.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:19:2.380 --> 0:19:4.720

Burnham, Lance

I retire at the end of June.

0:19:5.410 --> 0:19:7.20

Burnham, Lance

Umm I have.

0:19:7.310 --> 0:19:9.220

Burnham, Lance

I will be a member of the public.

0:19:9.570 --> 0:19:10.560

Burnham, Lance

I have.

0:19:10.670 --> 0:19:18.110

Burnham, Lance

I will volunteer if if needed, I'm happy to step up to do that, so I'll just throw that out there.

0:19:16.760 --> 0:19:18.170

roger m (Guest)

That's terrific.

0:19:18.580 --> 0:19:19.90

roger m (Guest)

OK.

0:19:19.140 --> 0:19:19.410

roger m (Guest)

Yeah.

0:19:19.420 --> 0:19:22.550

roger m (Guest)

So we'll talk, Lance, I appreciate that. Uh.

0:19:22.780 --> 0:19:23.940

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

OK, click there.

0:19:25.60 --> 0:19:27.110

roger m (Guest)

Anybody else?

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:19:27.200 --> 0:19:51.90

roger m (Guest)

You know my my thoughts on it are that doesn't hurt for for Barb to put a proposal or, you know, language together so that we can have a look at it and and and see what I don't wanna do is make huge decisions, you know, on, you know, what a majority where there's not many people participating.

0:19:51.100 --> 0:19:56.20

roger m (Guest)

So, you know, I think we want to keep the, you know, the more minds we have, the better.

0:19:56.400 --> 0:20:3.0

roger m (Guest)

So that's, but you know, I I'd be interested by it and seeing what you, how that might look on paper.

0:20:3.800 --> 0:20:4.40

Neal, Barbara

OK.

0:20:4.780 --> 0:20:5.0

roger m (Guest)

So.

0:20:7.580 --> 0:20:9.410

roger m (Guest)

So anybody else on this particular issue?

0:20:9.470 --> 0:20:9.570

roger m (Guest)

No.

0:20:12.630 --> 0:20:13.80

roger m (Guest)

OK.

0:20:13.650 --> 0:20:17.530

roger m (Guest)

So they barbs authority.

0:20:18.890 --> 0:20:50.0

roger m (Guest)

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

So Barb, the job description and Barb dug that out for me and it says it's basically part of her job description is communicates with and or advises the board, state agencies, the state legislature, Vermont Public Safety Board, the FCC, National Emergency Number Association, local governments, PSAP personnel, emergency responders and public relations issues pertaining to the board, the statewide system and enhanced 911 in general.

0:20:52.490 --> 0:20:53.870

roger m (Guest)

You know we hired Barb.

0:20:55.230 --> 0:21:18.880

roger m (Guest)

I don't know how many years ago now because we had trust in her and there are things that come up at the legislature that she just handles and I have enough belief in her in her skill set, to trust her to handle those things, some of 3/10 we've discussed before in the past.

0:21:20.70 --> 0:21:24.120

roger m (Guest)

There are some people that disagree with our direction.

0:21:25.280 --> 0:21:26.500

roger m (Guest)

We do the best we can.

0:21:28.110 --> 0:21:38.870

roger m (Guest)

My understanding is is that there are going to be some opportunities for a public entities to speak up during rulemaking process on some of this correct.

0:21:42.780 --> 0:21:43.360

Neal, Barbara

Yes, correct.

0:21:44.200 --> 0:21:46.40

roger m (Guest)

So again.

0:21:47.920 --> 0:22:2.370

roger m (Guest)

You know, I'm to the point, I've been doing this for a long time, and if if the the rest of the

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

board tells me that I'm going down the wrong path, but I trust Barb to handle things at the legislature, she's very good about keeping in touch with us.

0:22:3.110 --> 0:22:18.880

roger m (Guest)

Uh, we've had this special meeting today for this particular issue that that had come up and that's kind of my mindset is the chair is to you know, where I should go.

0:22:20.400 --> 0:22:23.240

roger m (Guest)

So I'm going to put some people on the spot.

0:22:23.250 --> 0:22:24.450

roger m (Guest)

I'm going to start with Brian.

0:22:24.610 --> 0:22:26.820

roger m (Guest)

It's been around, Brian.

0:22:26.830 --> 0:22:31.440

roger m (Guest)

You tell me if you think I'm giving too much slack or or as the chair.

0:22:31.450 --> 0:22:32.60

roger m (Guest)

This is not.

0:22:32.80 --> 0:22:32.760

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Are you recording?

0:22:35.20 --> 0:22:35.800

roger m (Guest)

Go ahead, Brian.

0:22:37.730 --> 0:22:39.730

Brian Keefe

Thank you, Mr thank you, Mr.

0:22:39.740 --> 0:22:47.250

Brian Keefe

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

Chairman first, I'm sorry to have been a few minutes late and I also wanna let you know that I have a 1045 hard stop today.

0:22:48.340 --> 0:22:51.70

Brian Keefe

Umm, but I in general. Mr.

0:22:51.80 --> 0:22:52.870

Brian Keefe

Chairman, I concur with your remarks.

0:22:52.940 --> 0:23:4.360

Brian Keefe

I have trust and Barbara and I feel as one Member that I'm adequately informed and that for decisions that are umm, at that level, they are probably brought, brought before the board.

0:23:6.120 --> 0:23:7.940

roger m (Guest)

Thanks, Jerome.

0:23:7.950 --> 0:23:9.400

roger m (Guest)

You've been around for a long time.

0:23:11.80 --> 0:23:13.390

Jerome Pettinga

I've always been very comfortable with barbed Neil.

0:23:14.280 --> 0:23:15.630

Jerome Pettinga

I don't think that there's.

0:23:15.640 --> 0:23:17.510

Jerome Pettinga

I don't think she leads the board around.

0:23:17.920 --> 0:23:19.20

Jerome Pettinga

I think she's doing her job.

0:23:21.340 --> 0:23:21.680

roger m (Guest)

Kelly.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:23:24.890 --> 0:23:27.570

Kelly Kennedy

Service supplies would compare with the other two.

0:23:28.100 --> 0:23:30.470

Kelly Kennedy

Umm, I've been on the board a number of years.

0:23:31.40 --> 0:23:46.490

Kelly Kennedy

Ohm, I'm not in the profession as a member of the public, but I feel that that Barb certainly has kept us informed ohm and and it's done.

0:23:48.60 --> 0:23:49.560

Kelly Kennedy

You know, I I don't want it.

0:23:49.660 --> 0:24:1.250

Kelly Kennedy

She's got a lot more knowledge than a number of us with the daily running of things, and I, you know, I think that I I certainly have trust in in what she's doing.

0:24:3.710 --> 0:24:5.460

roger m (Guest)

Thank you, Kelly, Eric.

0:24:7.200 --> 0:24:8.650

Eric Nordenson

Yeah, I agree with what everybody said.

0:24:8.660 --> 0:24:11.790

Eric Nordenson

I I trust Barb and she's filled us in when need be.

0:24:12.540 --> 0:24:17.590

Eric Nordenson

So thank you for all of that and that's why we have an executive director to take the lead on a lot of these things.

0:24:17.600 --> 0:24:18.550

Eric Nordenson

And then communicate with us.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:24:18.560 --> 0:24:19.430

Eric Nordenson

And she's done just that.

0:24:21.30 --> 0:24:21.970

roger m (Guest)

Thanks Eric.

0:24:22.670 --> 0:24:24.720

roger m (Guest)

Uh, let me see Christian.

0:24:24.730 --> 0:24:25.520

roger m (Guest)

I don't.

0:24:25.630 --> 0:24:30.390

roger m (Guest)

You're not a a A voting member yet, so I'll skip over to you.

0:24:31.90 --> 0:24:35.30

roger m (Guest)

And Lance, I think you're the last of the board folks.

0:24:36.60 --> 0:24:39.870

Burnham, Lance

Yeah, I I concur with everything that everyone else has said.

0:24:39.880 --> 0:24:42.530

Burnham, Lance

I will say the communication from Barb is excellent.

0:24:42.760 --> 0:24:45.910

Burnham, Lance

The communications that we get from Barb are not directives.

0:24:45.920 --> 0:24:53.10

Burnham, Lance

They are questions to make sure that we feel that what she's representing is accurate and and at the boards wishes.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*



*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:24:53.20 --> 0:24:56.330

Burnham, Lance

So I trust parba implicitly.

0:24:58.200 --> 0:25:0.470

roger m (Guest)

K uh, did I miss any of the board members?

0:25:2.250 --> 0:25:2.700

roger m (Guest)

All right.

0:25:2.710 --> 0:25:12.230

roger m (Guest)

So I'll figure out how to get this message or if I have to get it back to the legislature just to let them know that we have reaffirmed.

0:25:14.530 --> 0:25:18.460

roger m (Guest)

You know that the, the, the way we're doing things is the way that the board wants to do them.

0:25:18.850 --> 0:25:21.50

roger m (Guest)

I don't want this to be a Roger and Barb show.

0:25:22.170 --> 0:25:30.360

roger m (Guest)

Uh, but you know, sometimes you've got to act pretty quickly, and I've always been trying to be respectful.

0:25:30.370 --> 0:25:33.720

roger m (Guest)

I've always tried to be respectful of each board member's time.

0:25:34.550 --> 0:25:36.590

roger m (Guest)

Uh, you're all volunteers.

0:25:37.400 --> 0:25:46.70

roger m (Guest)

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

And again, I I'm very, very much appreciate that and you certainly back me and help make my job much easier.

0:25:46.520 --> 0:25:47.30

roger m (Guest)

So.

0:25:47.280 --> 0:25:53.930

roger m (Guest)

So I'll I'll take all of this in consideration here and and see.

0:25:55.530 --> 0:26:7.30

roger m (Guest)

Is there somebody that I have to to be in contact with the legislature just to let them know how we feel about how we're doing things with our Executive director leading the way?

0:26:8.980 --> 0:26:12.540

roger m (Guest)

Alright, any any further comments on this on the board?

0:26:15.710 --> 0:26:16.90

roger m (Guest)

All right.

0:26:18.590 --> 0:26:18.840

roger m (Guest)

Who?

0:26:18.850 --> 0:26:20.270

roger m (Guest)

Who's just Kelly?

0:26:16.630 --> 0:26:21.80

Kelly Kennedy

But Roger, quick question, would it be worth it, would it?

0:26:20.330 --> 0:26:21.200

roger m (Guest)

OK, Kelly.

0:26:21.150 --> 0:26:27.60

Kelly Kennedy

Would it be worth putting a letter together with all of us, signing it of to show the support?

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:26:28.880 --> 0:26:30.490

roger m (Guest)

Uh, I don't know.

0:26:30.500 --> 0:26:31.800

roger m (Guest)

I think that's a great idea.

0:26:35.490 --> 0:26:35.710

Kelly Kennedy

And.

0:26:31.810 --> 0:26:43.10

roger m (Guest)

I don't know if we need to to go that far, Kelly, but let me if the rest of the board doesn't have a problem, let me consider that as well.

0:26:43.690 --> 0:26:43.930

Kelly Kennedy

OK.

0:26:44.380 --> 0:26:47.690

roger m (Guest)

But you know, I don't wanna make.

0:26:54.270 --> 0:26:54.670

Jerome Pettinga

2nd.

0:26:47.820 --> 0:26:57.600

roger m (Guest)

I wanna be just correctly nuanced about this and not make a huge deal about it, but Kelly, that's certainly is a a great gesture. So.

0:26:57.780 --> 0:26:58.100

Jerome Pettinga

So.

0:26:59.70 --> 0:27:9.170

roger m (Guest)

Well, let me let me ask everybody, is there any thoughts that this is the direction we wanna go or we wanna just let me fly with it and see where we end up?

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:27:11.910 --> 0:27:14.300

Burnham, Lance

I personally don't think it's needed.

0:27:14.310 --> 0:27:20.130

Burnham, Lance

I I agree that I think if we got to that point, I'm more than happy to do that, but I'm not so sure it's needed at this time.

0:27:21.450 --> 0:27:22.80

roger m (Guest)

Yeah.

0:27:21.820 --> 0:27:22.600

Burnham, Lance

That's my opinion.

0:27:22.130 --> 0:27:24.130

roger m (Guest)

Alright, Brian.

0:27:24.970 --> 0:27:26.200

Brian Keefe

I concur with Lance.

0:27:26.300 --> 0:27:27.410

Brian Keefe

I concur with Lance on that.

0:27:28.330 --> 0:27:28.590

roger m (Guest)

OK.

0:27:30.470 --> 0:27:30.750

roger m (Guest)

Right.

0:27:32.390 --> 0:27:34.550

roger m (Guest)

Barbie, is there anything else that I've missed?

0:27:38.560 --> 0:27:47.760

Neal, Barbara

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

Umm, no, not on this item we do want on the next item we we will need to reschedule the April meeting.

0:27:47.550 --> 0:27:47.770

roger m (Guest)

Yes.

0:27:47.770 --> 0:27:48.570

Neal, Barbara

I'll just, OK.

0:27:49.210 --> 0:27:50.460

roger m (Guest)

Yeah, alright.

0:27:50.470 --> 0:27:52.430

roger m (Guest)

So we were supposed to have an April meet.

0:27:51.740 --> 0:27:53.880

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Roger, Roger.

0:27:53.920 --> 0:27:54.920

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Steve Whittaker here.

0:27:55.410 --> 0:28:5.580

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

I had spoke to you on the phone about the another issue that needed to be addressed, the public safety task force vendor needing access to the cell database.

0:28:6.760 --> 0:28:6.940

roger m (Guest)

Yeah.

0:28:9.490 --> 0:28:15.690

roger m (Guest)

Why don't you put that in writing, Steve, so that we can I can address that with with Barb.

0:28:17.140 --> 0:28:19.490

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

No, I think I need to bring it to the whole board.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:28:17.320 --> 0:28:19.880

roger m (Guest)

So we're Steve.

0:28:20.50 --> 0:28:25.700

roger m (Guest)

Steve, you had your public comment, as law prescribes, you had your chance.

0:28:26.300 --> 0:28:30.950

roger m (Guest)

You've talked, and now we're gonna go to Sony to get the next meeting date.

0:28:32.290 --> 0:28:33.200

roger m (Guest)

Uh, Sony?

0:28:33.310 --> 0:28:36.920

roger m (Guest)

The reason that we're we were supposed to have a meeting in April.

0:28:37.470 --> 0:28:45.560

roger m (Guest)

We had this meeting so we'll push off our quarterly meeting till mid May and hopefully we can find a date to make that work. Sony.

0:28:46.970 --> 0:28:51.50

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Alright, well, let's see the first date.

0:28:51.60 --> 0:28:53.500

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

That pops up is the 14th of May.

0:28:53.510 --> 0:28:55.180

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

It would have to be in the morning.

0:28:56.340 --> 0:28:56.800

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Umm.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:28:56.880 --> 0:28:58.790

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

So I mean, we usually meet at 10.

0:29:0.310 --> 0:29:1.560

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Umm, Fairview.

0:29:1.830 --> 0:29:3.520

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Tell because you're not in the room.

0:29:3.530 --> 0:29:6.670

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Even I'm actually looking at you because I know that you had something on your calendar.

0:29:6.680 --> 0:29:8.40

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

I believe that afternoon.

0:29:8.190 --> 0:29:11.90

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

So with a 10:00 AM meeting on the 14th, work for you.

0:29:12.590 --> 0:29:13.680

roger m (Guest)

You 14th.

0:29:15.80 --> 0:29:15.600

Neal, Barbara

Hold on.

0:29:17.290 --> 0:29:18.710

roger m (Guest)

I believe that would work for me.

0:29:24.860 --> 0:29:31.290

Neal, Barbara

Yeah, I would need to be finished by noon, which is certainly within the realm of possibility.

0:29:31.300 --> 0:29:31.590

Neal, Barbara

So yes.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:29:33.500 --> 0:29:33.850

roger m (Guest)

You know.

0:29:33.220 --> 0:29:35.110

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Alright, so that's the first date.

0:29:35.160 --> 0:29:37.340

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

So the 14th, what do people think about that?

0:29:39.990 --> 0:29:41.550

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

OK, good, good.

0:29:40.860 --> 0:29:46.440

roger m (Guest)

Always schedule it and if you know if the majority of you have a problem with it will reschedule.

0:29:48.750 --> 0:29:49.170

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

OK.

0:29:49.180 --> 0:29:50.220

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

I'll go ahead and take care of that.

0:29:52.30 --> 0:29:52.900

roger m (Guest)

OK.

0:29:54.90 --> 0:29:56.90

roger m (Guest)

Then I'll take a motion to adjourn.

0:29:56.820 --> 0:29:57.40

Jerome Pettinga

Well.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*



*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:29:59.40 --> 0:29:59.430

Jerome Pettinga

Quick.

0:29:59.190 --> 0:30:0.390

Burnham, Lance

I make a motion that we adjourn.

0:30:2.560 --> 0:30:2.840

Kelly Kennedy

Uh.

0:30:3.240 --> 0:30:3.900

Jerome Pettinga

I'll second that.

0:30:1.190 --> 0:30:4.260

roger m (Guest)

Lance first, uh and Jerome.

0:30:4.270 --> 0:30:7.420

roger m (Guest)

Second, any further discussion, hearing none.

0:30:7.930 --> 0:30:10.470

roger m (Guest)

Although he's in favor of a journey signify by saying aye.

0:30:10.530 --> 0:30:10.820

roger m (Guest)

I.

0:30:11.530 --> 0:30:11.780

Jerome Pettinga

But.

0:30:11.530 --> 0:30:11.830

Brian Keefe

Aye.

0:30:11.810 --> 0:30:12.70

Burnham, Lance

Aye.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*

0:30:11.810 --> 0:30:12.260

Kelly Kennedy

I.

0:30:13.20 --> 0:30:14.410

roger m (Guest)

Thank you very much everybody.

0:30:15.200 --> 0:30:15.360

Jerome Pettinga

What?

0:30:14.900 --> 0:30:17.250

roger m (Guest)

Have a good month or so.

0:30:17.540 --> 0:30:17.760

roger m (Guest)

Bye bye.

0:30:17.940 --> 0:30:18.380

Neal, Barbara

Thank you.

0:30:18.80 --> 0:30:18.450

Burnham, Lance

Hi everybody.

0:30:18.540 --> 0:30:19.200

Kelly Kennedy

Bye bye.

0:30:19.110 --> 0:30:19.700

Jerome Pettinga

Aye.

*Transcript recorded by Microsoft TEAMS – NO corrections have been made to this auto-generated text.*