0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:2.250 roger m (Guest) So we're gonna call the meeting to order.

0:0:2.260 --> 0:0:4.630 roger m (Guest) I have 101 and Sony.

0:0:4.640 --> 0:0:6.280 roger m (Guest) Would you go through for a roll call?

0:0:7.670 --> 0:0:8.300 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Uh, yes.

0:0:8.310 --> 0:0:8.620 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Uh.

0:0:8.690 --> 0:0:11.690 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 First, let me let people know that this meeting is being recorded.

0:0:14.250 --> 0:0:14.560 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Right.

0:0:14.570 --> 0:0:15.760 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 And let's see.

0:0:16.50 --> 0:0:21.330 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 So I'm just gonna go through board members as they appear on the list I have Brian Keith.

0:0:22.850 --> 0:0:23.240 Brian Keefe I'm here. 0:0:23.250 --> 0:0:24.920 Brian Keefe I'm having trouble with my camera, but I'm here.

0:0:24.930 --> 0:0:25.230 Brian Keefe Thank you.

0:0:26.970 --> 0:0:27.710 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Lance Burnham.

0:0:28.580 --> 0:0:28.950 Burnham, Lance I'm here.

0:0:30.50 --> 0:0:30.630 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Dale Porter.

0:0:31.990 --> 0:0:32.490 Dale Porter I'm here.

0:0:33.720 --> 0:0:34.520 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Eric nordenson.

0:0:35.360 --> 0:0:35.700 Eric Nordenson I'm here.

0:0:36.780 --> 0:0:37.280 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Kelly Kennedy.

0:0:38.130 --> 0:0:38.520 Kelly Kennedy I'm here.

0:0:39.620 --> 0:0:40.400 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Roger Marku.

0:0:40.930 --> 0:0:41.250 roger m (Guest) Present.

0:0:42.440 --> 0:0:43.0 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Peacock.

0:0:43.870 --> 0:0:44.120 Steve Locke Here.

0:0:45.380 --> 0:0:46.50 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 All right, then.

0:0:46.60 --> 0:0:47.210 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 We have far meal.

0:0:48.440 --> 0:0:48.690 Neal, Barbara Here.

0:0:49.670 --> 0:0:51.490 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 I'm Sonny Johnson, board clerk.

0:0:52.750 --> 0:0:53.460 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Well, let's see.

0:0:53.470 --> 0:0:54.630 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 David Horsecows ski.

0:0:58.970 --> 0:0:59.280 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Are you?

0:0:58.950 --> 0:0:59.490 Borsykowsky, David Thank you.

0:0:59.290 --> 0:1:1.200 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Wait, I have.

0:1:1.210 --> 0:1:4.790 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 There's a Joe Newton in the meeting.

0:1:7.220 --> 0:1:11.100 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 And here in the room with me, I have a Steven Whittaker.

0:1:14.370 --> 0:1:15.420 roger m (Guest) OK, very good.

0:1:16.230 --> 0:1:18.740 roger m (Guest) 1st order business is the approval of the agenda.

0:1:22.110 --> 0:1:22.330 Steve Locke So.

0:1:21.860 --> 0:1:22.830 Burnham, Lance I move to approve.

0:1:21.960 --> 0:1:28.530 roger m (Guest) Sleep, Steve lock and Lance Burnham, captain Burnham seconds it.

0:1:28.660 --> 0:1:30.540 roger m (Guest) Any discussion on the agenda?

0:1:32.920 --> 0:1:35.640 roger m (Guest) Although his in favor of accepting signify by saying aye.

0:1:36.780 --> 0:1:37.220 Steve Locke

0:1:36.980 --> 0:1:37.240 Brian Keefe Bye. 0:1:37.160 --> 0:1:37.340 Kelly Kennedy Like.

0:1:37.340 --> 0:1:37.760 Burnham, Lance Aye.

0:1:38.520 --> 0:1:41.290 roger m (Guest) OK, motion carried. Alright.

0:1:41.300 --> 0:1:41.550 roger m (Guest) Uh.

0:1:41.560 --> 0:1:43.570 roger m (Guest) Next on the agenda is public comment.

0:1:43.580 --> 0:1:50.500 roger m (Guest) I believe we have two members of the public, Mr Newton and and Mr Whitaker.

0:1:50.890 --> 0:1:55.480 roger m (Guest) Uh, Mr Whitaker, you got 10 minutes.

0:1:58.40 --> 0:1:58.210 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 But.

0:2:0.860 --> 0:2:5.900 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 I just wanna call the attention that comments I made last time in that.

0:2:7.700 --> 0:2:9.170 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 To the degree that this board.

0:2:11.30 --> 0:2:22.690 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Uh neglects to address the issues that are raised in public comment related to cell coverage or resiliency or the executive directors.

0:2:23.530 --> 0:2:44.440

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Uh, having proper authority to promote changes in the legislation without this board having even discussed them, is there's a problem where it it discourages the board from learning and and developing the capacity to actually govern.

0:2:45.650 --> 0:2:47.150 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 As you know, there's there's a.

0:2:50.680 --> 0:3:3.670 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

One of the results of this public safety communications task force, which met in the last hour, is gonna be a statewide governance of over 911 and dispatch and radio systems.

0:3:3.720 --> 0:3:7.330 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 And there's that's missing.

0:3:7.340 --> 0:3:18.110 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 That whole chapter is missing from the work plan for the contractor, so this this board needs to step up and or reform itself, but definitely to engage.

0:3:19.420 --> 0:3:26.710

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

I've raised the privacy issues related to giving Emergency Management a full directory of everyone's location.

0:3:26.720 --> 0:3:30.150 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Who has a VoIP phone that is just fundamentally.

0:3:31.340 --> 0:3:34.180 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Uh, not the way policy should be made.

0:3:34.970 --> 0:3:37.100 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Uh, there are implications.

0:3:37.110 --> 0:3:46.510 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 There needs to be an opt in process if you're going to give a take that kind of drastic action, especially with for potential for abuse.

0:3:47.690 --> 0:3:47.890 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Uh.

0:3:49.370 --> 0:4:8.120 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Lot of VoIP providers, a lot of VoIP phone owners are not gonna want there address disclosed for wholesale use and or secondary use unregulated and yet that your director is in there pitching that very same thing to the gallops Committee this morning.

0:4:8.700 --> 0:4:17.190 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

And y'all have taken this informal or even illegal polls around the the board to say sure whatever she wants.

0:4:17.200 --> 0:4:22.430

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Go ahead and do it and and that's really neglecting your responsibilities that board members.

0:4:22.440 --> 0:4:32.110

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

If you're not going to adhere to your old and dig into these things and give clear direction on what she is and isn't allowed to say, you're neglecting your responsibilities.

0:4:32.620 --> 0:4:37.850 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 So I'm encouraging you to address these issues head on.

0:4:37.860 --> 0:4:40.290 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Keep track of the ones I've raised over the years.

0:4:40.480 --> 0:4:42.700 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 There's cell coverage gaps.

0:4:42.850 --> 0:4:46.730 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 What good is 901 if people can't aren't in the dead zone and can't call for help?

0:4:47.420 --> 0:4:52.660 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

Or there's only one carrier, and that tower goes down and we don't bother to know.

0:4:52.760 --> 0:4:58.410

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

I tried to raise an issue of the access to the data set of the cell towers last week and I was shut down.

0:4:58.940 --> 0:5:15.600

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

And yet that same issue came up in Gops today, where Barbie saying I, I'd like to know what areas only have one carrier so that I know that it if that tower fails, they can't fail over to another tower and reach 911.

0:5:16.820 --> 0:5:36.500

BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315

That's the very analysis I'm asking that you make this data set available for study by the Mission Critical partners, and somebody made any a decision, an executive decision without discussion at the board to tell the people bidding on that contract that they can't have access to that database.

0:5:37.530 --> 0:5:38.170 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 So this is the.

0:5:40.500 --> 0:5:41.950 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 I'm happy to answer questions.

0:5:41.960 --> 0:5:49.420 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 I've thrown a lot at you and we're not used to actually diving into these things, but I think you need to start.

0:5:54.840 --> 0:5:55.250 roger m (Guest) OK.

0:5:55.260 --> 0:5:57.210 roger m (Guest) Thank you, Steven.

0:5:58.390 --> 0:5:59.840 roger m (Guest) We have another guest.

0:6:1.200 --> 0:6:8.560 roger m (Guest) Uh, Mr Newton, do you have anything you'd like to address under the public comments portion of the meeting?

0:6:10.10 --> 0:6:11.200 Joe Newton Thank you for having me know.

0:6:11.210 --> 0:6:11.590 Joe Newton I do not.

0:6:11.600 --> 0:6:12.230 Joe Newton I'm just observing.

0:6:12.970 --> 0:6:13.300 roger m (Guest) OK.

0:6:13.310 --> 0:6:14.50 roger m (Guest) Thank you very much.

0:6:15.580 --> 0:6:18.330 roger m (Guest) OK, so we're here to talk about the.

0:6:20.980 --> 0:6:34.530

roger m (Guest)

A complaint about a A open meeting law violation and on Tuesday in the April 4th, I received an email from Steven Whittaker outlining an allegation of the violation of the open meeting law.

0:6:35.930 --> 0:6:43.500

roger m (Guest)

The board members who were provided, I think you all were provided with uh that communication which occurred on for 8:24.

0:6:43.990 --> 0:6:48.560 roger m (Guest) Purpose of today's meeting is to consider the complaint and respond as required by law.

0:6:49.630 --> 0:6:51.120 roger m (Guest) To that end, we will now.

0:6:53.50 --> 0:7:0.100

roger m (Guest)

Go into the next agenda item so that the board can obtain legal guidance and in an executive session.

0:7:0.170 --> 0:7:15.250

roger m (Guest)

So ohh, I'd like to entertain a motion that the board make a finding that premature general public knowledge about confidential attorney client communications would clearly place the board at a substantial disadvantage.

0:7:16.730 --> 0:7:21.890 roger m (Guest) So I need a motion for that in order to continue.

0:7:25.480 --> 0:7:26.0 Steve Locke So moved.

0:7:27.40 --> 0:7:29.40 roger m (Guest) Brian, thank you. Second.

0:7:30.90 --> 0:7:30.590 Eric Nordenson I'll second.

0:7:31.890 --> 0:7:32.640 roger m (Guest) Who is that?

0:7:33.550 --> 0:7:34.830 Eric Nordenson Eric Nordenson, I'll second that.

0:7:32.650 --> 0:7:36.20 roger m (Guest) Second uh thanks, chief.

0:7:36.310 --> 0:7:37.970 roger m (Guest) Uh, any discussion on this?

0:7:40.30 --> 0:7:40.380 Brian Keefe Mr.

0:7:40.390 --> 0:7:41.640 Brian Keefe Chairman, this is Brian Keefe.

0:7:41.650 --> 0:7:43.690 Brian Keefe I just wanted I I did not make the motion.

0:7:44.540 --> 0:7:45.190 roger m (Guest) Oh, I'm sorry.

0:7:46.660 --> 0:7:47.170 roger m (Guest) Well, let.

0:7:46.500 --> 0:7:48.310 Brian Keefe I'm yeah, I'm kind of hidden here because I'm sorry.

0:7:47.610 --> 0:7:48.510 Steve Locke Roger was Steve.

0:7:49.460 --> 0:7:50.530 roger m (Guest) OK. I'm sorry.

0:7:50.540 --> 0:7:51.660 roger m (Guest) I'm sorry Brian and Steve.

0:7:53.520 --> 0:8:6.840 roger m (Guest) So Sony, the record can reflect that Steve Locke, chief Lock made the initial motion in that Captain Vernon seconded any further discussion.

0:8:8.780 --> 0:8:12.330 roger m (Guest) All those in favor of accepting the motion signify by saying aye.

```
0:8:13.690 --> 0:8:13.910
Brian Keefe
Hi.
```

0:8:14.50 --> 0:8:14.200 Kelly Kennedy I.

0:8:14.430 --> 0:8:14.670 Steve Locke Aye.

```
0:8:15.400 --> 0:8:24.950
roger m (Guest)
```

OK, so I have 109 and we're going to ask our well, Sony walk us through here.

```
0:8:24.960 --> 0:8:27.660
roger m (Guest)
```

What we're going to do for the executive session, please.

```
0:8:28.210 --> 0:8:39.660
BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315
```

Well, now, now that you have found that there's a reason to go into executive session now, you need to actually move to enter into executive session.

```
0:8:40.470 --> 0:8:41.180
roger m (Guest)
Gotcha.
```

0:8:41.150 --> 0:8:42.720 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Is that Roger?

0:8:41.390 --> 0:8:42.860 roger m (Guest) Something that's it.

0:8:42.730 --> 0:8:55.280 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Is it appropriate to get facts on the record of who or is it sufficient to emails all the members then given a copy of the email thread where you hold the Members on this issue, are you?

0:8:55.510 --> 0:8:58.570 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 You can't do evidence in executive session.

0:8:59.20 --> 0:9:4.640

roger m (Guest)

We're going to talk to our attorney about that, so I'm going to need a motion to go into executive session.

0:9:6.450 --> 0:9:7.40 Dale Porter So moved.

0:9:9.420 --> 0:9:9.800 Kelly Kennedy 2nd.

0:9:7.530 --> 0:9:15.800 roger m (Guest) Dale, second Kelly, thank you any further discussion discussion at now.

0:9:14.130 --> 0:9:16.970 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 No discussion is allowed at this point.

0:9:15.850 --> 0:9:17.480 roger m (Guest) We'll go right into.

0:9:18.180 --> 0:9:19.530 roger m (Guest) We'll go right into the vote.

0:9:20.70 --> 0:9:24.790 roger m (Guest) All those in favor going to executive session signify by saying aye, aye.

0:9:24.810 --> 0:9:25.70 Dale Porter Aye.

0:9:24.80 --> 0:9:25.200 Kelly Kennedy Alright, alright.

0:9:24.550 --> 0:9:25.270 Burnham, Lance Alright, yeah.

0:9:25.570 --> 0:9:26.860 roger m (Guest) The eyes have it now.

0:9:26.870 --> 0:9:30.920 roger m (Guest) Sony, we can go into a whatever

0:9:30.990 --> 0:9:34.10 roger m (Guest) However, you exclude non board members in our attorney.

0:9:34.190 --> 0:9:34.630 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Yeah.

0:9:34.970 --> 0:9:37.460 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 So give me just a few minutes to get things set up.

0:9:37.750 --> 0:9:38.460 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Are you going in?

0:9:39.240 --> 0:9:40.400 BGS - 6 Baldwin Conf Rm 315 Are you going into elections?

0:9:48.340 --> 0:9:49.240 roger m (Guest) Sony, are you there?

0:9:52.70 --> 0:9:52.370 roger m (Guest) OK.

0:9:52.990 --> 0:9:53.960 Borsykowsky, David I'm. I just.

0:38:49.620 --> 0:39:2.780

Johnson, Soni

So if you give me a moment to unlock the meeting in case anybody wants to rejoin online, and then I will start the recording again and.

0:39:5.10 --> 0:39:11.320 Johnson, Soni We are whoops, back in business, alright?

0:39:10.740 --> 0:39:11.630 roger m (Guest) OK.

0:39:12.280 --> 0:39:13.110 roger m (Guest) Thank you.

0:39:13.420 --> 0:39:29.810 roger m (Guest)

Alright, I'm gonna look for a motion to acknowledge a violation of the open meeting law during the exchange of emails among board members and the executive director on March 27th and March 28th of 2024 taking place.

0:39:30.90 --> 0:39:30.860 roger m (Guest) Do I have a motion?

0:39:33.420 --> 0:39:34.100 Steve Locke So moved. 0:39:35.670 --> 0:39:36.180 roger m (Guest) Steven. 0:39:36.230 --> 0:39:36.500 roger m (Guest) Yeah. 0:39:36.510 --> 0:39:37.590 roger m (Guest) Thank you. Second. 0:39:38.950 --> 0:39:39.300 Eric Nordenson 2nd. 0:39:39.900 --> 0:39:43.100 roger m (Guest) All right, Eric, any discussion. 0:39:46.580 --> 0:39:50.20 roger m (Guest) All those in favor of accepting the motion signify by saying aye. 0:39:52.390 --> 0:39:52.770 Steve Locke ١. 0:39:52.650 --> 0:39:52.910 Brian Keefe Aye. 0:39:54.210 --> 0:39:55.290 roger m (Guest) The eyes carry. 0:39:55.670 --> 0:39:56.640 roger m (Guest) The motion is carried.

0:39:56.650 --> 0:39:57.380 roger m (Guest) Thank you.

0:39:57.750 --> 0:40:8.250 roger m (Guest) Uh, the law requires that the following the acknowledgement of a violation of the open meeting law that the public body provided cure for the violation so.

0:40:8.300 --> 0:40:35.80

roger m (Guest)

Ohh umm, the violation was cured during the April 2nd 2024 special meeting as a subject matter was discussed in the consensus that was reflected in the email exchange was affirmed in open meeting, so I'd like to you and this is this is going to be in form of a motion but I'd like to move that.

0:40:35.90 --> 0:40:44.90

roger m (Guest)

We have the following steps and I'll be looking for somebody to to move this motion, but the following steps will be taken to avoid a recurrence.

0:40:45.370 --> 0:41:14.570

roger m (Guest)

First Step, 911 board members in the executive director will receive annual training on the open meeting law beginning today with an initial training by legal counsel, #2 board staff and leadership will be careful not to initiate conversations about policy outside of a public meeting and #3, the executive director will ensure practices are implemented for email correspondence with board members.

```
0:41:16.450 --> 0:41:18.470
roger m (Guest)
Entertain a motion to accept those.
```

```
0:41:22.30 --> 0:41:22.450
Eric Nordenson
I'll move that.
```

```
0:41:25.420 --> 0:41:25.970
roger m (Guest)
2nd.
```

0:41:26.590 --> 0:41:27.10 Kelly Kennedy 2nd.

0:41:27.740 --> 0:41:28.810 roger m (Guest) Kelly, thank you.

0:41:29.160 --> 0:41:30.510 roger m (Guest) Uh discussion on that.

0:41:33.30 --> 0:41:37.930 Steve Locke Can we just make sure we identify some sort of process so that we actually do this annually?

0:41:37.940 --> 0:41:40.220 Steve Locke Because if not, we will.

0:41:40.310 --> 0:41:42.670 Steve Locke It will just fall off the radar and then we'll get.

0:41:43.510 --> 0:41:50.950

Steve Locke

They're perhaps sideways because we didn't do that, so as long as we can, maybe the summer meeting or make sure we have a process to ensure this happens.

0:41:52.610 --> 0:41:53.200 roger m (Guest) Thanks, Steve. 0:41:53.210 --> 0:41:53.940 roger m (Guest) That's great. 0:41:53.950 --> 0:41:54.450 roger m (Guest) Great idea.

0:41:56.590 --> 0:41:57.320 roger m (Guest) Anybody else?

0:42:0.670 --> 0:42:4.60 roger m (Guest) OK, I'm gonna call the for a vote.

0:42:4.130 --> 0:42:6.760 roger m (Guest) Although it's in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

0:42:8.10 --> 0:42:8.470 Steve Locke I.

0:42:8.250 --> 0:42:8.510 Brian Keefe Aye.

0:42:8.890 --> 0:42:9.240 Burnham, Lance Aye.

0:42:11.160 --> 0:42:11.900 roger m (Guest) Ice carry.

```
0:42:13.520 --> 0:42:14.640
roger m (Guest)
So now we're.
```

0:42:16.660 --> 0:42:26.880 roger m (Guest) We're gonna be on to our training and after which I will consider this particular incident clothes.

0:42:26.890 --> 0:42:28.310 roger m (Guest) So David, take it away.

0:42:29.920 --> 0:42:30.610 Borsykowsky, David Thank you.

0:42:30.700 --> 0:42:40.370 Borsykowsky, David And it's an honor to to work with with you all and and Roger with you and and Barb and and Steve and and with the whole board.

0:42:41.20 --> 0:42:43.990 Borsykowsky, David I'm and my and a pleasure to to be here.

0:42:44.0 --> 0:42:47.910 Borsykowsky, David I'm gonna share my screen and.

0:42:50.720 --> 0:42:51.610 Borsykowsky, David Let's see. Oh.

0:42:56.490 --> 0:42:56.780 Borsykowsky, David Hmm.

0:42:59.910 --> 0:43:5.470 Borsykowsky, David Are you seeing umm, that the meeting law?

0:43:5.540 --> 0:43:6.970 Borsykowsky, David See, now I don't see you guys here.

0:43:6.980 --> 0:43:9.560 Borsykowsky, David I'm gonna move you to my other screen.

0:43:10.960 --> 0:43:11.460 Borsykowsky, David Umm.

0:43:12.160 --> 0:43:12.800 Borsykowsky, David And that way.

0:43:14.630 --> 0:43:16.130 Borsykowsky, David Umm do you guys see?

0:43:14.910 --> 0:43:17.550 Neal, Barbara And and we're not seeing it yet, not seeing it yet.

0:43:17.570 --> 0:43:20.270 Borsykowsky, David OK, let me try that again.

0:43:23.520 --> 0:43:24.180 Borsykowsky, David Yeah, there we go.

0:43:25.840 --> 0:43:27.100 Borsykowsky, David OK, tell me when you can see that.

0:43:28.660 --> 0:43:29.490 Neal, Barbara We can see that now.

0:43:30.360 --> 0:43:30.990 Borsykowsky, David Great.

0:43:31.260 --> 0:43:33.770 Borsykowsky, David So I've got it's a long presentation.

0:43:33.780 --> 0:43:36.590 Borsykowsky, David I've just taken a few pages out of what I often do.

0:43:36.600 --> 0:43:42.260 Borsykowsky, David Some folks in my office have done a lot of this before me and I've taken some excerpts. 0:43:43.10 --> 0:43:59.600

Borsykowsky, David

Umm, I've got more here on paper and I'm really focusing today on some things that I've highlighted and I'm sort of I'm gonna scream through it with the idea that, you know, we'll focus today on some things and we'll focus again in the future on, you know, other points.

0:44:0.220 --> 0:44:13.430 Borsykowsky, David But I'm also happy to share this with with Barb, to circulate to the group and some resources so that you know you've got you've got plenty to to look at, you know, at your own leisure.

0:44:13.440 --> 0:44:19.590

Borsykowsky, David

But feel free also to ask questions along the way, and at the end, you know, follow up with with anything and edition.

0:44:20.230 --> 0:44:27.20 Borsykowsky, David Umm, so I'm just gonna embark and and let you know.

0:44:27.30 --> 0:44:43.220

Borsykowsky, David

Let me know along the way if if if you guys can't hear me or if anything needs better clarity so the open meeting law is found in the in the green books and title One section 310 through 14.

0:44:43.890 --> 0:44:47.900 Borsykowsky, David It requires that all meetings of public bodies be open to the public.

0:44:47.910 --> 0:45:6.710 Borsykowsky, David

As you know folks, I think all know I'm in it and and essentially it's basically requiring that a public body such as the board discuss all business and take all actions and open meeting unless there's a particular exception and we'll get into some of those exceptions.

0:45:6.720 --> 0:45:13.270

Borsykowsky, David

And the reason is as you guys know, for both transparency and public participation.

0:45:14.40 --> 0:45:14.480 Borsykowsky, David Umm.

0:45:14.880 --> 0:45:17.230 Borsykowsky, David For for the public.

0:45:18.260 --> 0:45:21.620 Borsykowsky, David Umm yeah, what is a public body?

0:45:22.150 --> 0:45:23.20 Borsykowsky, David I don't know.

0:45:23.30 --> 0:45:24.900 Borsykowsky, David We need to get into too much detail.

0:45:25.650 --> 0:45:38.960 Borsykowsky, David It's a it's any board council or Commission, and th

It's a it's any board council or Commission, and then there's detail of what is and isn't, but suffice to say, you know anyone won't board is a board of the state and so, no, no question.

0:45:39.530 --> 0:45:45.620 Borsykowsky, David You know, of course that that the 911 board is a what's called the public body.

0:45:45.930 --> 0:45:50.320 Borsykowsky, David It's to public bodies that the open meeting law applies. Umm.

0:45:52.440 --> 0:46:7.50 Borsykowsky, David

So then the question becomes, you know, what is a meeting and a meeting is a gathering of a quorum of the members of the body that are that is discussing the business of the body or for the purpose of taking action.

```
0:46:7.60 --> 0:46:21.430
Borsykowsky, David
So it's discussion or action and you know in some sometimes there is some, you know,
```

that's one of the points of that that people have questions about is, hey, we didn't vote on anything.

0:46:21.440 --> 0:46:40.0

Borsykowsky, David

We didn't decide anything but, but when a body is discussing with the public body does or decisions that it might make in the future or even opinions of the body as a body, you know you are engaging in what is a meeting and generally should be public.

0:46:41.140 --> 0:46:50.290 Borsykowsky, David Umm, I've got some slides here on, you know whether Members need to be physically present or not the law and that, of course is changing a little bit.

0:46:50.300 --> 0:47:4.190

Borsykowsky, David

You know, there's pieces that have come in for during the COVID emergency and some that are phasing out and some that are becoming permanent, that kind of change, you know, what used to be, you know, more of a physical presence requirement.

0:47:4.340 --> 0:47:6.590 Borsykowsky, David There's some, you know, different terms on that.

0:47:6.600 --> 0:47:14.790 Borsykowsky, David Not really a subject here, but you know that's something we could put in for more discussion if you have questions or for, you know, a future training.

0:47:14.800 --> 0:47:15.490 Borsykowsky, David Not not an issue.

0:47:15.500 --> 0:47:17.930 Borsykowsky, David That's really come up to my knowledge for the for this group.

0:47:18.690 --> 0:47:19.60 Borsykowsky, David Uh.

0:47:19.70 --> 0:47:33.110 Borsykowsky, David Another slide on, you know really presence and this too is on is something legislature did at the beginning of last year's session that related to some temporary requirements for the meeting log.

0:47:33.120 --> 0:47:39.130 Borsykowsky, David Again, going back to sort of the COVID emergency, here's one of the key points for our discussion.

0:47:39.610 --> 0:47:52.390

Borsykowsky, David

And that is around what is a meeting and a meeting, of course, is whatever you meet and whenever you're discussing, umm, you know public business or considering or taking action as a, as a body.

0:47:53.160 --> 0:47:53.670 Borsykowsky, David Umm.

0:47:55.30 --> 0:48:13.760

Borsykowsky, David

And so something and I and I have to say, this is part of the regular training, you know, not it's not here because of the particular issue that brought us to this discussion today, because it is an area where, you know where guidance and training is helpful and where there's, you know, there can be a lot of confusion.

0:48:15.700 --> 0:48:18.870 Borsykowsky, David You know what's happened here is not uncommon, you know.

0:48:18.880 --> 0:48:20.580 Borsykowsky, David And of course it needs to be corrected.

0:48:21.520 --> 0:48:25.650 Borsykowsky, David But you know it happens and and folks learn from it.

0:48:26.110 --> 0:48:35.180

Borsykowsky, David

And he a group email can constitute or become a public meeting whenever a quorum of members are part of the group email.

0:48:36.150 --> 0:48:39.780 Borsykowsky, David And there's any dialogue that addresses business matters.

0:48:40.290 --> 0:48:44.910 Borsykowsky, David That discussion is a meeting, and you know, I make a note here.

0:48:44.920 --> 0:48:46.130 Borsykowsky, David Beware of the reply.

0:48:46.140 --> 0:49:12.130

Borsykowsky, David

All and one of the measures that that you know you guys have discussed then which you know, I think Barb is already begun and and Sony begun to implement is even when you are just receiving notice of something which is not a violation of any public meeting requirement to receive information and document notice of something that's happening or condition that board members are to be aware.

0:49:13.80 --> 0:49:35.800

Borsykowsky, David

I'm that is not itself a meeting and and doesn't trigger meeting requirements, but what we've seen sometimes is even when that's not how it started, when all the the individuals are listed as the emails going too, all the members, somebody who receives it, which wasn't yet a public meeting now response in a manner that turns it into a discussion.

0:49:36.950 --> 0:49:49.510

Borsykowsky, David

And so by putting that information offline, you know the message will come if it's coming from Barb or Sony with everybody in the board and you know, not CC directly.

0:49:49.880 --> 0:49:56.570 Borsykowsky, David You know, it reminds the recipients that if they wanna respond to, do they have to?

0:49:56.610 --> 0:50:6.450

Borsykowsky, David

You know, it just makes a little harder to reply all and and engage in that discussion gives people a chance to to think about whether what they're about to do might start a public meeting.

0:50:6.460 --> 0:50:10.930 Borsykowsky, David You know, inadvertently we find that that's helpful to avoid that problem.

0:50:12.350 --> 0:50:18.760 Borsykowsky, David Any kind of serial communication and and it can become a public meeting and again.

0:50:18.770 --> 0:50:30.520

Borsykowsky, David

So that's why and and where it could happen, it's hard to judge exactly when it turns into one, which is why, you know, we advise you kind of stopping well short of the threshold.

0:50:30.530 --> 0:50:43.910

Borsykowsky, David

So for example, you would not have a public meeting if there was an email to three or four members and you were just having a discussion among that three or four even about something that might be the business of the board.

0:50:44.600 --> 0:51:7.520

Borsykowsky, David

The problem is, unless everybody's perfect in trained and aware and and in the moment trying to avoid, as soon as anybody in that chain avoid adds another person who who would make a quorum or could make a quorum now unintentionally by any of the people who participated up to that moment, we we turn that into what might be a public meeting.

0:51:7.650 --> 0:51:26.580

Borsykowsky, David

So it's just something to be aware of and and you know, kind of stop well short of in order to avoid that happening, we've even, you know, discussed the times whether social Media Group, you know if if if you have a quorum of members of the board who are all I don't know.

0:51:26.590 --> 0:51:48.210

Borsykowsky, David

II don't wanna pick on Facebook or Twitter or whatever it is, and you're engaging or participating in a discussion about public a matter that's within your jurisdiction and you know, yeah, that can in theory at least, be argued to fall into, you know, discussion of the quorum of the public body.

0:51:49.270 --> 0:52:6.370 Borsykowsky, David Umm so just I I don't say you can't all be members of of any particular forum, but just be aware and you know something to keep in mind and ask questions about if if something feels to you like it's getting too close to the core of what of the work you do.

0:52:8.480 --> 0:52:30.690

Borsykowsky, David

Even collectively editing a document together, let's say somebody were to say, you know, we're working on, maybe it is legislation, maybe it's a draft protocol, you know of how the board's you know, staff is gonna function or, you know dispatching, you know who's gonna receive or or work with peace apps in a certain way.

0:52:31.520 --> 0:52:37.460

Borsykowsky, David

If you're working together on a document, you know you're putting your notes in there and I'm.

0:52:37.470 --> 0:52:37.720 Borsykowsky, David Yeah.

0:52:37.730 --> 0:52:38.540 Borsykowsky, David I'm not saying you.

0:52:38.550 --> 0:52:39.180 Borsykowsky, David You've done it all.

0:52:39.190 --> 0:52:54.200

Borsykowsky, David

I'm just saying this is this is again standard part of what I what I talk to groups about is you know you could end up that being a public meeting because you're engaged in discussion about a policy or the business of the board using the document.

0:52:54.210 --> 0:53:5.840

Borsykowsky, David

Again, we won't be because you were trying to avoid the public it it'll be because you know you didn't realize it and you should have involved the public once enough of you or participating in something like that.

0:53:5.850 --> 0:53:18.610 Borsykowsky, David So it's worth again, just being aware, the Secretary of State and I'll give Barb forward to Barb, a resource that she can share as well with the Secretary of State has a good hand poker manual on their website.

0:53:19.220 --> 0:53:23.920 Borsykowsky, David What they suggest I think is naming a point person who collects and compiles.

0:53:24.220 --> 0:53:28.270 Borsykowsky, David Let's say there is a document that you wanna discuss in a future board meeting.

0:53:28.700 --> 0:53:34.510 Borsykowsky, David You want everybody to have it and you want people to be able to send their feedback or notes to someone.

0:53:35.40 --> 0:53:38.880 Borsykowsky, David Again, that will probably be public record.

0:53:39.100 --> 0:53:44.290 Borsykowsky, David It's not that that and if if somebody asks for that feedback, they're gonna get it.

0:53:44.300 --> 0:53:48.900 Borsykowsky, David If it's in writing, but umm, the public will get it.

0:53:49.110 --> 0:54:3.130

Borsykowsky, David

But in the meantime, at least you don't trigger the public meeting response where it doesn't turn into a public meeting if just one person is receiving the comments and gonna collate them word in some way, organize them for the meeting discussion.

0:54:3.910 --> 0:54:7.880 Borsykowsky, David Umm, the business and we don't have to get it in much detail.

0:54:7.890 --> 0:54:23.520

Borsykowsky, David

It's really it's whatever the business of the 911 board is is it's it's function and everything is supervised, it's controls or is asked to advise about is one is is the business of of the body.

0:54:24.370 --> 0:54:31.580

Borsykowsky, David

Umm, there are some exceptions to what cannot be a meeting or what doesn't have to be a meeting, and that is very specific assignment.

0:54:31.590 --> 0:54:36.780 Borsykowsky, David Or, you know, assignment to staff or routine day-to-day administrative matters.

0:54:37.200 --> 0:54:51.420 Borsykowsky, David And actually, even even a quorum or more of the board that's purely deciding on scheduling a meeting, organizing the agenda or distributing meetings, materials for discussion at the meeting will not be.

0:54:52.10 --> 0:54:54.900 Borsykowsky, David I'm a public meeting again.

0:54:55.630 --> 0:55:6.0

Borsykowsky, David

It when you do that, you have to be careful because that is the kind of conversation that, although not intending to discuss the business of the board, can easily turn into it.

0:55:6.470 --> 0:55:11.30 Borsykowsky, David And then of course, there's no way to bring it back other than this kind of cure process.

0:55:13.170 --> 0:55:44.30

Borsykowsky, David

And you know this, I think we've already talked about we, you know, you guys do your notice fine, you know, at least from what I can tell and you know again we can talk about that in future I'm discussions but I didn't thought we think we'd go over that there is there are some exceptions for executive session for the limited purposes that executive sessions are allowed this is right there in the statute you know the discussion that we had earlier for attorney client communication for need to provide you services and advice.

0:55:46.120 --> 0:55:57.540

#### Borsykowsky, David

You know, that's one of the examples of executive session and other is if you were discussing in the midst of negotiation of a contract, you know strategy or how you want to approach something that would be a.

0:55:59.870 --> 0:56:1.540 Borsykowsky, David Appropriate for executive session and then?

0:56:1.550 --> 0:56:11.900 Borsykowsky, David But then you have to go through the process that Roger led you through earlier, you know with with the the, the two part motion to go into executive session.

0:56:12.820 --> 0:56:13.310 Borsykowsky, David Umm.

0:56:14.330 --> 0:56:16.120 Borsykowsky, David An executive session there's limits.

0:56:16.130 --> 0:56:24.480 Borsykowsky, David So you don't take formal action, you can talk about things, you get your advice, or you, you, you know, you make determination.

0:56:24.490 --> 0:56:26.280 Borsykowsky, David That's essentially temp temporal.

0:56:26.290 --> 0:56:29.380 Borsykowsky, David You know, we're gonna take this stance and negotiation, for example.

```
0:56:29.630 --> 0:56:44.630
```

Borsykowsky, David

You're not actually voting to adopt or to to sign a contract if you've decided, or if you've discussed that you would actually make the formal discussion and the and the vote would come after executive session.

0:56:45.380 --> 0:56:49.290 Borsykowsky, David Umm, I'm just talk a little bit about the cure again.

0:56:49.300 --> 0:56:58.890 Borsykowsky, David We've really kind of taken those steps from, you know, from my perspective you cure it by acknowledging it within 14 days.

0:57:1.130 --> 0:57:1.740 Borsykowsky, David No, I'm sorry.

0:57:1.750 --> 0:57:14.520

Borsykowsky, David

You cure it by acknowledging it within 10 days and then and and then taking the actions within 14 days that you've done, which is to, you know, cure the the particular by bringing that public.

0:57:14.530 --> 0:57:40.970

Borsykowsky, David

That what had been private discussion into the public, which which you've done through your meeting last week and and and in this case, by taking steps to to to prevent future violations, if it had turned out that though, for example, you had done something else, that it required a different cure than you would have maybe taken different different steps.

0:57:41.280 --> 0:57:43.890

Borsykowsky, David Here's some of the penalties or remedies for violation.

0:57:43.900 --> 0:57:53.490 Borsykowsky, David Again, some of these go away or not available when the public body cures, which is what you know we think you've done.

0:57:53.980 --> 0:57:58.810 Borsykowsky, David And that's it's all I had or wanted to directly discuss.

0:57:58.820 --> 0:58:5.0 Borsykowsky, David But again, happy to answer any questions or go over any thing you find helpful.

0:58:6.920 --> 0:58:10.910 roger m (Guest) See anybody got any questions, David, that was very enlightening.

0:58:11.90 --> 0:58:14.500 roger m (Guest) And I see that I have some more studying to do here, but Brian?

0:58:17.610 --> 0:58:18.260 Brian Keefe Thank you, Mr.

0:58:18.270 --> 0:58:18.820 Brian Keefe Chair.

0:58:19.30 --> 0:58:23.800 Brian Keefe So if we had a I don't know what our quorum is, is it what five or six people?

0:58:23.850 --> 0:58:39.910

Brian Keefe

7 Whatever it is, if we had an executive committee that was comprised of three or four, in any case, fewer than a quorum, could that executive committee have these sort of discussions of substance outside of creating a public meeting?

0:58:42.110 --> 0:58:49.600 Borsykowsky, David I I guess I I I I think I I would suggest you think before you form an executive committee.

0:58:51.610 --> 0:58:59.680

Borsykowsky, David

Because then you know, depending on how you form it and what it's jurisdiction is or whatever that itself could become a public body.

0:59:0.810 --> 0:59:16.200

Borsykowsky, David

Umm, which in some some public bodies do that, you know they'll have a Finance Committee, which itself for an executive committee, which itself becomes about public body, gives notice of meetings, that it has a quorum which can get complicated and not necessarily where you want to go.

0:59:16.270 --> 0:59:21.360

Borsykowsky, David

It could be on the other hand, what I have said and seen is.

0:59:23.420 --> 0:59:32.220

Borsykowsky, David

If if you at last week's meeting had said, well, no, I wouldn't say you should appoint a committee, but you do have a chair and a vice chair.

0:59:33.390 --> 0:59:42.340 Borsykowsky, David And if you trust them to reach out on on certain kinds of issues, you trust them to work with Barb, say on something.

0:59:42.350 --> 0:59:44.380 Borsykowsky, David And you know, there's feedback there.

0:59:44.850 --> 0:59:48.810 Borsykowsky, David You know, it's not a problem for Barb or Roger to reach out to.

0:59:49.410 --> 0:59:51.90 Borsykowsky, David Up to, I would say two more members.

0:59:52.130 --> 0:59:59.550 Borsykowsky, David Umm, you know without risk to, you know, to make sure you've got, you know, a sense of the board.

0:59:59.560 --> 1:0:8.630

Borsykowsky, David

Of course, they won't take action on behalf of the board, but you know, if what you're trying to do is get a sense of do others agree with where we're headed?

1:0:9.540 --> 1:0:14.970 Borsykowsky, David That's a way of informally, you know, getting more heads in it.

1:0:15.70 --> 1:0:17.330 Borsykowsky, David But not necessarily the cord.

1:0:17.340 --> 1:0:18.60 Borsykowsky, David When the body Barb.

20

1:0:18.70 --> 1:0:19.550 Borsykowsky, David I'm sorry you've got a hand up.

1:0:19.830 --> 1:0:20.650 Borsykowsky, David You should feel free to.

1:0:22.70 --> 1:0:22.440 Borsykowsky, David Yeah.

1:0:22.450 --> 1:0:26.360 Borsykowsky, David Well, it's maybe it's up for Roger, but sorry.

1:0:26.20 --> 1:0:26.540 roger m (Guest) Go ahead, mark.

1:0:29.840 --> 1:0:30.940 Borsykowsky, David Hope you're on mute, Barb.

1:0:30.540 --> 1:0:30.950 roger m (Guest) Muted.

1:0:32.880 --> 1:0:43.90

Neal, Barbara

Sorry, I think you may have answered my question, but I just want to make sure you talked about thinking carefully about subcommittees or executive committees and that.

1:0:43.480 --> 1:0:56.990 Neal, Barbara Is there a distinction between that and like a working group of board members that's maybe gathers to work on some project and then reports back to the full board?

1:0:57.0 --> 1:0:59.140 Neal, Barbara Or is there a distinction there?

1:1:4.770 --> 1:1:4.950 roger m (Guest) Right.

1:1:0.480 --> 1:1:10.950 Borsykowsky, David I'm in my mind there is and again I it's a little bit of you know I need to know a little bit more about what specifically you have in mind and what you're you're charging.

1:1:21.650 --> 1:1:21.960 roger m (Guest) The dog.

1:1:11.20 --> 1:1:35.160

Borsykowsky, David

You know that those people with, but I mean certainly if you were to be planning an event and you know you were to say that, you know, umm, the chief and the captain and and you know and and one other member are going to, you know do that do the work to get ready for that.

1:1:35.310 --> 1:1:35.650 roger m (Guest) Thank you.

1:1:35.700 --> 1:1:36.550 Borsykowsky, David That's not.

1:1:36.700 --> 1:1:42.840Borsykowsky, DavidYou're not giving them, you know you're not appointing them with authority to take action.

1:1:44.190 --> 1:1:45.750 Borsykowsky, David You you just can.

1:1:49.210 --> 1:1:49.750 Neal, Barbara Right. OK.

1:1:45.780 --> 1:1:52.920 Borsykowsky, David You know you're asking them to contribute work, and that itself is not, you know, is not.

1:2:9.490 --> 1:2:9.730 Neal, Barbara OK.

1:1:52.970 --> 1:2:10.270

Borsykowsky, David

On the other hand, if you were to form a Finance Committee charged with, you know, doing the books or, you know, going to the legislature with a budget, you know, that would be, you know, could be closer to and and be itself a public body. Umm.

1:2:11.660 --> 1:2:12.410 Neal, Barbara That's helpful.

1:2:16.580 --> 1:2:16.780 Borsykowsky, David Yeah.

1:2:12.580 --> 1:2:18.230

Neal, Barbara

And and I'll I'll check with you if we ever have a need for a working group to make sure we're we're in order.

1:2:18.380 --> 1:2:18.720 Neal, Barbara Thank you.

1:2:19.340 --> 1:2:22.740 roger m (Guest) There any other questions for David or myself or Barb?

1:2:25.850 --> 1:2:26.890 roger m (Guest) Steven Locke.

1:2:27.180 --> 1:2:32.210 Steve Locke Or I just heard what I think I heard David say and I is that chaining is OK.

1:2:32.220 --> 1:2:35.10 Steve Locke

So I mean, you can pull members.

1:2:35.80 --> 1:2:36.460 Steve Locke Did I misunderstand that?

1:2:36.760 --> 1:2:43.160

Steve Locke

So if three of us kind of talk about a thing and then we, then I want to reach out to the 4th or 5th, just get their opinion.

1:2:45.20 --> 1:2:46.290 Steve Locke I call that chaining, I guess.

1:2:45.340 --> 1:2:49.690 Borsykowsky, David I think I think I was more saying it's not OK generally.

1:2:49.850 --> 1:2:50.150 Steve Locke OK.

1:2:51.600 --> 1:2:52.780 Steve Locke

```
1:2:51.740 --> 1:3:0.970
```

Borsykowsky, David

I certainly can imagine where you know two or three of you were having a discussion and one of you also wants to talk to someone else.

```
1:3:1.540 --> 1:3:5.280
```

```
Borsykowsky, David
```

I you know, that doesn't really, you know you're getting.

1:3:5.290 --> 1:3:6.230 Borsykowsky, David You're gearing up.

1:3:6.240 --> 1:3:9.350 Borsykowsky, David You're gonna go to a meeting, and one of you wants to reach out to another to say.

1:3:9.360 --> 1:3:10.850 Borsykowsky, David Hey, here's where I am.

1:3:10.860 --> 1:3:12.170 Borsykowsky, David And you gonna join me?

1:3:12.400 --> 1:3:17.330 Borsykowsky, David You know, in this position that's probably fine, even though three of you have talked about it.

1:3:17.340 --> 1:3:19.80 Borsykowsky, David Now you were talking to a fourth.

1:3:19.120 --> 1:3:24.230 Borsykowsky, David If, on the other hand, there were three who say, hey, let's, let's hear from one more.

1:3:24.240 --> 1:3:38.410

Borsykowsky, David

Two more members and one of you know, you sort of tasked with, you know that now you're kind of organizing or, you know, again not intentionally to avoid the statute, but you're getting closer to what should be a public discussion.

1:3:39.240 --> 1:3:39.490 Borsykowsky, David Umm.

1:3:39.670 --> 1:3:40.540 Borsykowsky, David And to be careful about.

1:3:46.90 --> 1:3:46.270 Borsykowsky, David Yeah.

1:3:41.540 --> 1:3:49.280

Steve Locke

Yeah, we've, we've cautioned our our Council against that kind of quote chaining and that's why I was about, I mean, I just must have misunderstood. Thanks.

1:3:49.460 --> 1:3:49.750 Borsykowsky, David Yeah.

1:3:49.760 --> 1:3:52.230 Borsykowsky, David And if I wasn't clear, I'm glad you brought it up.

1:3:52.960 --> 1:3:53.300 Borsykowsky, David Thank you.

1:3:54.620 --> 1:3:55.370 roger m (Guest) Anybody else?

1:4:0.10 --> 1:4:12.170

roger m (Guest)

OK, Ohm, first of all, I'd like to thank David for a you know really some very, very good advice and everything.

1:4:12.180 --> 1:4:18.310

roger m (Guest)

And and you know, kind of helping me dissect this so that I could understand it my own little simple mind here.

1:4:20.140 --> 1:4:24.50 roger m (Guest) So I find that this matter now is concluded.

1:4:24.120 --> 1:4:28.540 roger m (Guest) We've acknowledged uh that that we were in violation.

1:4:28.650 --> 1:4:30.260 roger m (Guest) You know, we talked about the cure.

1:4:30.270 --> 1:4:53.80

roger m (Guest)

We've had some training today and we've had the the special meeting, so as far as I'm concerned, this matter is closed and if there's anything else that comes up, you know, they

it's a possibility that, you know from my understanding and talking to David that they could be, you know, this could end up in civil court.

1:4:53.140 --> 1:4:56.850 roger m (Guest) You know, it's just part of the the remedy that a complainant has.

1:4:56.860 --> 1:4:57.350 roger m (Guest) So.

1:4:57.440 --> 1:5:1.150 roger m (Guest) So we'll keep everybody posted in a legal way.

1:5:1.760 --> 1:5:8.330 roger m (Guest) And uh, I guess if there's nothing further, I'd like to entertain a motion to adjourn.

1:5:11.710 --> 1:5:13.510 roger m (Guest) Lance second.

1:5:13.250 --> 1:5:13.630 Burnham, Lance So moved.

1:5:17.250 --> 1:5:17.370 Kelly Kennedy Like.

1:5:17.510 --> 1:5:18.320 roger m (Guest) Sleeve lot.

1:5:19.380 --> 1:5:20.720 roger m (Guest) Any further discussion?

1:5:26.460 --> 1:5:26.590 Kelly Kennedy You. 1:5:26.340 --> 1:5:26.710 Brian Keefe I. 1:5:21.360 --> 1:5:27.30 roger m (Guest) Hearing none, all those in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye, and thank you very much. 1:5:27.430 --> 1:5:27.760 Burnham, Lance

Bye.

1:5:27.740 --> 1:5:28.90 roger m (Guest) Thank you.

1:5:28.100 --> 1:5:28.470 roger m (Guest) Take care.

1:5:26.720 --> 1:5:28.670 Steve Locke

1:5:27.740 --> 1:5:29.450 Brian Keefe Alright, thank you. Yeah.

1:5:29.300 --> 1:5:29.580 roger m (Guest) Bye bye.

1:5:29.160 --> 1:5:29.700 Dale Porter Thank you.

1:5:29.160 --> 1:5:29.930 Borsykowsky, David Thank you all.

1:5:30.710 --> 1:5:31.280 Neal, Barbara Thanks everybody.

1:5:31.570 --> 1:5:31.950 roger m (Guest) Thank you.

1:5:30.620 --> 1:5:32.70 Burnham, Lance I thank you, David.

1:5:30.320 --> 1:5:32.580 Borsykowsky, David Take good care back.